Preserve HBS 18.9.39.

App. F. IX-4

Previous References 1936 (L.B.)

File No. 66-36
Serial Nos. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9

Letter References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Five

Part B for 5 (W 37)

SUBJECT.

Nomination of women as members of Village panchayets.

8 9)

The Rw Commr
re Dress of Dms
No. L. D. 157-65 of 24.8.37)

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—18-8-37

Dsnes orders in the matter

5.11.37

5195 L, 5196 L

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

LB 66-36 5 16

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Office of the Secretary,
Representative Assembly and Legislative Council.

No. 4059-61/LA: Bangalore, dated 19th May 1937.

R.A. - only

FROM

The Secretary,
Representative Assembly and Legislative Council.

To

21 MAY 1937
L & G. BRANCH.

The Secretary to Government,
Development Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No.3953/R.A. dated 7th May 1937, I have the honour to forward herewith for favour of necessary further action extracts of promises made by Government during the sittings of the Representative Assembly (last two days) held in October and November 1936, and to request that action taken thereof may kindly be intimated to this office on or before 1st June 1937.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SECRETARY,
Representative Assembly.

1) Sri G.S. The Reporting Section may perhaps be requested to furnish a copy of the proceedings (discussions) relating to the two questions under reference (Qn Nos 57 & 31)

25/5 for Rep. Section
for 25/5/37

2) Copies put up
26.5.37 L.S.G. Cell

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0417—GPB—80,000—8-3-36

R.A. promises — Oct 1937.

5196

General and Revenue Secretariat

d/B File No. 66 5196/2 of 1936. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

3) Obs: The portions pertaining to the two promises under reference are marked. (H.C. & D.)
As regards the promise made by the President re: the 9th nominating women to the V.B. the Rev. Comr may perhaps be consulted.

4) Regarding the other question it would perhaps be enough if the attention of the Bd is invited to the question put in by the members concerned.

5) Two dft letters are put up for consideration & orders.

28/5 M 7) Dr. W. 6) W 26/5/37

M 4.6.37. W

7 To the Rev. Comr
No L 8866 dy 14-6-37

Calls for his opinion on the nomination of women nominees by V.P's.

8 To the P.D.B. Kasaragod & the D.C.
No L 8867 dy 14.6.37

Requests him to take such steps as is necessary to provide certain amenities in the villages in the Kasaragod Dt.

To the R.A. Sechi for note & return

M 25/6/37

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

MD 0216—GPB—80,000—8-9-36

Ins to be V Act 1
L.A.G. Dr
DO 9/7 Dr 11/7

R.A. Promises – Oct: & Nov: 1936

5196/L 355/L of 1936-37

L3 File No. 66

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No. 8.

Letter No C. 5640/36-37 dated 16th July from the Rev: Comr. stating that the Village Panchayat Regn. as it stands at Present, there is no Provision for nominating Women to Village Panchayats and Suggesting for this purpose, the words "of the male sex" occurring in Sec 9 of the V.P. Regn. may be deleted.

2) Submd for perusal with refce. to this Office letter No L 8866 dated 14/6/1937. Flag 'K'

Under Section 9 of the Village Panchayat Regn. every person of the male ~~Sex~~ Sex who is entitled to vote at an election shall be qualified to be elected as a member. The provisions of this Section ~~does~~ do not necessarily prevent the nomination of women to the Village Panchayats, though they definitely bar them from standing for election to a Village Panchayat. There is also no other Specific provision in the V.P. Regn. prohibiting the nomination of women Candidates to the Village Panchayats. In this View the Dy Comrs. may perhaps nominate women to the ~~Se~~ Several Panchayats situated within their jurisdiction

10) If the Suggestion of the Rev. Comr.

Re- Nomination of Women to Village Panchayats

723/37 P

355 L

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

is accepted, legislative amendment of the ~~Act~~ V. P. Regn. is necessary.

(1) The Law Section may perhaps be consulted in the first instance.

2/31/7/-

31/7/37. Secy (L.S.G.).

(1) Law Section may be consulted.

Sd/- 31/7

2/31/7/-

Asst Secy Law. (1)

37 Law BNR 2.8.37

13 Section 13 of the General clauses

(1) Regulation provides that in all enactments, unless there is anything repugnant in the subject or context words importing the masculine gender shall be taken to include females. It is on this principle that women are qualified to be voters under Sec.) of the village Panchayat Regulation. Regarding the candidates for election, the Regulation specifically provides that only persons of the male sex are qualified to stand. This restriction seems to be only in respect of elected members. But a Panchayat may wholly consist of ~~elected~~ elected members, or may consist of members partly elected and partly nominated. In the case of nominated members, I do not think, that a woman is ineligible for nomination, and I am the opinion that an amendment of the Regulation is unnecessary

BNR 5.8.37

Asst. Secy L.S.G. (1)

FE—Contn. Sheet.

nomination of women to village Panchayats

315
2

File No. 66 of 193 7-37 — General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

Submitted vide para 12 supra for orders.

2
6/8/-

Secy L.S.G.)

16 For orders whether in view of the legal opinion, as opposed to the R.C.'s opinion, a circular may issue to D.C.'s now where proper women are available there is no objection under V.P. Act to nominating women as Panchayat members.

7 8 37

2/7/37

11 Members)

Yes
Nil
10/8/37

10 8 37

2/8/37

As directed in para 16) of the notes supra a Circular is put up for approval.

Draft Circular is not necessary, 7/8/37. and a brief is enclosed.

For approval.

2
14/8/-

Secy L.S.G.)

16 8 37

The Secretary:

File D.O's of Dt
Memo 2157-65 L3665-36-9 Dated 24th Aug 1937
Issues a Circular regarding the nomination of women as members of village Panchayats

2nd 2
16/8/37

173
D.O.
28/10/37

TYPING SECTION
17 AUG. 1937
GEN'L & REV. SECTT.

L B 66-36-5

Promise.

Regarding the question of nominating women to Local Bodies, the President stated that there was no provision in the village Panchayat Regulation for nominating women and that the suggestion to nominate women more largely would however be considered.

(Section no 57

Action taken.

21 MAY 1937
L. S. G. BRANCH.

LB66-36-6

Promise.

Action taken.

To the Supplementary question of Mr. Bagamane Deva-Gowda, whether the Kadur District Board would be ~~asked~~ asked to provide the necessary amenities to certain villages, the Secretary to Government, Development Department replied that the question would be referred to the District Boards.

Intermediate and (ii) in the B.A. classes;

(*b*) if these visiting professors, etc., are given any allowance for this extra work?

I. The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatesa Iyengar).—

(*a*) The information is given below:—

	Inter. and B A. classes	Inter. classes only	B.A. classes only
1. Visiting Professors	1	...	2
2 Visiting Assistant Professors.	...	...	...
3 Visiting Lecturers and Tutors.	5	6	5

(*b*) No.

Mrs. K. D. RUKMINIAMMA.—Why is it no extra allowance is paid to these visiting professors even though they have to work for 31 periods a week?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—It is considered part of their duty.

Mrs. K. D. RUKMINIAMMA.—In case new sections are added on to the Maharani's College in future, will they be expected to work then also without any extra allowance?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—The question is hypothetical.

Mrs. K. D. RUKMINIAMMA.—Have they not applied for the grant of an allowance?

Mr. M. VENKATESA IYENGAR.—Notice.

Nomination of Women to Local Bodies.

Q. 51. Sri. D. SAKAMMA (Special Interests).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) in how many municipalities are women nominated (information to be given in the case of cities, towns and minor municipalities separately);

(*b*) are any women nominated to the Village Panchayets in the State?

I. The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatanaranappa).—

(*a*) City Municipalities—None.

Town Municipalities—One lady is nominated to be a member of each of the Municipal Councils of Anekal, Hassan and Chitaldrug.

Minor Municipalities—None.

(*b*) None except in the case of Jodi Malligavalu village in Hassan Taluk.

Mr. S. K. VENKATARANGA IYENGAR.—As a matter of general policy, is it not desirable for the Government to provide seats for women in municipalities to represent the women's special interests?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—Government will consider their case at the time of nomination. Wherever possible, ladies will be included.

Mr. S. K. VENKATARANGA IYENGAR.—In British India have not seats been reserved for women?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—Even here it would be considered, if suitable candidates are available.

Mr. N. G. SANJEEVIAH.—Is it not desirable to nominate ladies to all local bodies?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—It is desirable provided they are available.

Mr. C. N. NARASINGA RAO.—Why was not any lady member nominated to the Bangalore City Municipality this time? Were not qualified ladies available?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—I cannot say.

Mr. S. C. MALLIAH.—With reference to answer (*b*), where was the trouble in nominating women more largely to the village panchayet? So far, only one has been nominated.

Mr. PRESIDENT.—In the Village Panchayet Regulation, there is no provision for nominating women. Your suggestion will however be examined.

Grant of Lands to Banajaras.

Q.—61. Mr. JATHARE NAIK (Minorities).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of *darkhast* applications received from the Banajaras since 12 years;

(*b*) out of these applicants, how many have received lands and how many applications have been rejected?

A.—**The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).**

(*a*) 1,821.

(*b*) Granted—966.

Rejected—844.

Under correspondence—11.

Mr. JATHARE NAIK.—With reference to answer (*b*), what are the reasons for rejecting 844 *darkhast* applications?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR. It is not possible to give the reasons for all the 844 cases.

Mr. JATHARE NAIK.—It has been stated that in 966 cases lands have been granted. Were they given free or was any money taken?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—I cannot say.

Managing Committee of the Mysore Iron Works.

Q. 65. **Nawab SHER KHAN** (Minorities).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) how many non-official members are there on the Managing Committee of the Bhadravati Iron Works;

(*b*) of these, how many belong to Shimoga and how many are outsiders;

(*c*) is there a Mahomedan member among those belonging to Shimoga?

A. **The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatanaranappa).**—

(*a*) Three.

(*b*) None of them belong to Shimoga.

(*c*) Does not arise.

Nawab SHER KHAN.—Is it not necessary that there should be at least one representative of the Shimoga District on the Board?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—What is wanted is an expert committee of businessmen. We have selected the best possible people in the State for it. It is not possible to give representation to each district.

Nawab SHER KHAN.—Should not preference be shown to the claims of Shimoga, seeing that the works are located within that district?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—I am afraid that point does not arise. It is a State concern. Nomination to the committee is made by Government after due consideration of all the available candidates.

Employment of Hakims.

Q.—66. **Nawab SHER KHAN** (Minorities).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the number of Hakims who have passed out of the Thibia College;

(*b*) of these, how many have been appointed by Government, District Boards and Municipalities?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (Mr. M. Venkatanaranappa).**—

(*a*) and (*b*) Thirty-one persons have qualified themselves as Hakims from the Unani Section of the Ayurvedic and Unani College in Mysore. Government have no information as to the number of persons who passed out of the Thibia Colleges at Delhi and elsewhere. There are 8 passed Hakims employed in the Mysore College while two are employed under local bodies.

Nawab SHER KHAN.—With reference to the answer stating that only 10 people have been employed, are Government aware whether the remaining people are unemployed?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—We have no information.

Nawab SHER KHAN.—The present Second Member of Council was pleased to promise last year when he was the Muzrai Commissioner that the local bodies would be requested to encourage Hakims and Pandits. But as a matter of fact, their number in the local bodies is going down. Will necessary action be taken to encourage them?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—It would be done as far as possible.

Mr. MEER MAHOMED SAHIB.—Will Government provide employment for all the qualified Hakims?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—They should approach the local bodies in the first instance. It all depends

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 14/6/37

Signed by Asst S. K. V.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Section L B.

File No. 66-36

Serial No. 7

No. L. 8866

To

The Rev. Comr.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to the promise made by the President, R. A. at its last sittings in October 1936 that the suggestion made by Mr S. C. Mallick, Member, R. A. that the nomination of women may be nominated more largely to (Question No. The V. P. would be examined and to request you to favour Govt with your opinion in the matter on the above suggestion.

An early reply is requested.

I have etc.,

for Secy to Govt.

14/6/37 Com. Depn

WD 0521—GPB—30,000—12-3-37

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section A B.

File No. 66 - 36

Serial No. 8

No. A. 8867

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To The P.W.D. Dt. Bd, Kadur
(Through the Dy C.)

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Sir,

I am directed to invite
reference to the proceedings
~~of the Dasara~~ Session of the R.A. of 1936,
~~relating~~ to Qn. No. 37 put to
by Messrs N. M. Sivalingappiah
& P.V. Halappa ~~at the Dasara~~
~~session of the R.A. in 1936~~
said to be
regarding the conditions prevailing
in the villages of Kadur Dt
and to request you to take
~~the said question before the Bd~~
in the matter
~~for such~~ such
~~as may be deemed necessary and~~
~~the action taken by you in~~
~~the matter may kindly be~~
report to Govt, very early.

I have etc.,

Date of issue 14/6/37 containing

Signed by Asst S.K.V.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

Secy to Govt.
Dev Dept

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. C 8867/L.B. 66-36-8 *Dated, Bangalore,* 14th June 193 7

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department.*

To

The President,
District Board, Kadur,

Through

The Deputy Commissioner,
K a d u r.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to page 147 of the proceedings of the Dasara Session of the Representative Assembly of 1936, pertaining to question No.31 put by Messrs. N.M.Sivalingappaiya and D.V. Hallappa in the matter of providing certain amenities in the villages in the Kadur District and to request you to take such action as may be deemed necessary.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

(T.P)

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

ACH.2.

LB 66-36-8

No. C.5640/36-37

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 16th July *193 7.*

17 JUL 1937

355

FROM

K.V. Anantaraman.Esq.B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To

M. Venkatagiranappa. Esq. B.A.,
The Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Development Department, *Bangalore.*

Nomination of Women to Village Panchayets.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L.2866/L.B.66-36-7 dated 14th June 1937, calling for my opinion on the above subject, I have the honour to state that in the Village Panchayet Regulation as it stands at present there is no provision for nominating women to Village Panchayets. Section 9 of the Village Panchayet Regulation which prescribes the qualifications of candidates lays down that " Every person of the male sex who is entitled to vote at an election shall be qualified to be elected as a member", from which it is clear that women are disqualified to stand as candidates for even election. I am not therefore sure if we can nominate women to Village Panchayets without amending the Regulation.

2. As regards the desirability of nominating women to Village Panchayets as suggested by Mr.S.C.Rulliah, I beg to invite reference to Government order No.L.2900-7/Ml.181-32-1 dated 21st October 1932 wherein Government have laid down certain general principles to be kept in view in making nominations to Local Bodies according to which persons recommended for nomination should ordinarily represent certain minority communities and special interests such as Maternity & Childwelfare. Of late the Village Panchayets are taking considerable interest in Maternity & Childwelfare and it is therefore desirable to nominate women also to Village Panchayets to represent women's special interests. But as this cannot be done without law being amended, I would suggest for this purpose that the words "of the male sex" occurring in Section 9

P.T.O.

of the Village Panchayet Regulation may be deleted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

H. Cameron

Revenue Commissioner.

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section L.B.

File No. 66-36.

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. L 21[illegible]

To official memorandum

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 21.6.37

Signed by .....

During the Sittings of the Representative Assembly, held in October and Novembe 1936, the ~~question~~ desirability of nominating especially ~~women~~ Panchayats Women to Local Bodies was ~~raised~~ urged ~~and especially the desirability of nominating women to Village Panchayats was urged~~, so that ~~they may evince greater interest in~~ the ~~promotion of the~~ work ~~of~~ relating to Maternity and Child welfare ~~etc~~ in ~~to~~ ~~which~~ women ~~can~~ especially ~~the Panchayats in which they are~~ interested may receive encouragement. ~~Resist. Agreeing with this view~~, Govt. ~~direct~~ consider that where suitable lady Candidates are available, there

List of enclosures..... female Panchayats

Copied by ..... is no objection to

Dy. Comrs. of Dts ~~may consider the~~

Examined by..... question of nominating ~~them~~

women
as members
of the Village Panchayats at their
next re-constitution ~~in their respective~~ of V.P.'s as when
~~the~~ vacancies occur among
nominated members

B. O.

Sec 1663
Secy to Govt.
Rev & L.S.G. Dept

2nd Rev. Comr.

~~Dy~~ Dy Comrs of Dbs.

Secy to
R. A. Section

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS

O. M. No. 2157-65/L. B. 66-36-9 Dated, Bangalore, 24th August 1937.

Nomination of women as members of Village Panchayets
Issues orders regarding————

Official Memorandum.

During the sittings of the Representative Assembly, held in October and November 1936, the desirability of nominating women to Local Bodies especially to Village Panchayets was urged so that work such as Maternity and Childwelfare etc., in which women are peculiarly interested may receive better attention from the Panchayets. Government consider that where suitable lady candidates are available, there is no objection to Deputy Commissioners of Districts nominating women as members of Village Panchayets at the next re-constitution of Village Panchayets or when vacancies occur among nominated members.

By Order,
P. H. KRISHNA RAO,
Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department.

To—The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.